## STATISTICAL INFERENCE (MA862) Lecture Slides Topic 6: Non-parametric Tests

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Non-parametric Inference

- ➤ X has a CDF F with known functional form except perhaps some parameters. In this case, we need to find value of the unknown parameters based on a sample. This is known as parametric inference.
- ► X has a CDF F who's functional form is unknown. In this case, we need to estimate a parametric function or test a statistical hypothesis without known functional form of the CDF. This is known as non-parametric inference.
  - In this course, we will mainly talk about non-parametric tests some practically meaningful statistical hypotheses.

## Order Statistics

- Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  denote a random sample from a population with continuous CDF *F*.
- The probability of any two or more of these random variables have equal magnitude is zero.
- Let us define
  - $X_{(1)}$ : smallest of  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ .
  - $X_{(2)}$ : second smallest of  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ .
  - $X_{(n)}$ : largest of  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ .
- Then  $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \ldots < X_{(n)}$  denotes the original random sample after arrangement in increasing order of magnitude.
- These random variables are collectively termed the order statistics corresponding to the random sample X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ..., X<sub>n</sub>.

## **Order Statistics**

- For r = 1, 2, ..., n, the *r*-th smallest  $X_{(r)}$  is called *r*-th order statistic.
- For odd *n*, the sample median is defined by  $X_{\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}$ . For even *n*, it is any number between  $X_{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}$  and  $X_{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}$ . The sample median is a measure of central tendency.
- The sample midrange is defined by  $\frac{X_{(1)}+X_{(n)}}{2}$ . It is also a measure of central tendency.
- The sample range is defined by X<sub>(n)</sub> X<sub>(1)</sub>. This is a measure of dispersion.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モート ・ 日 ・ うらぐ

#### Joint Distribution of Order Statistics

**Theorem 6.1:** Let  $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$  be the order statistics corresponding to a random sample of size *n* from a population having PDF  $f_X(\cdot)$ . Then the joint PDF of the order statistics is

$$f_{X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i) \text{ if } x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Distribution of $X_{(r)}$

**Theorem 6.2:** Let  $X_{(r)}$  be the *r*-th order statistic corresponding to a random sample of size *n* from a continuous CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$ . Then, the CDF of  $X_{(r)}$  is

$$F_{X_{(r)}}(t) = \sum_{i=r}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \left[F_X(t)\right]^i \left[1 - F_X(t)\right]^{n-i} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

**Theorem 6.3:** Let  $X_{(r)}$  be the *r*-th order statistic corresponding to a random sample of size *n* from a continuous CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$  with corresponding PDF  $f_X(\cdot)$ . Then, the PDF of  $X_{(r)}$  is

$$f_{X_{(r)}}(t) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \left[F_X(t)\right]^{r-1} \left[1 - F_X(t)\right]^{n-r} f_X(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Distribution of  $X_{(r)}$ 

**Corollary 6.1:** For a random sample of size n from U(0, 1) distribution, the CDF of the r-th order statistic is

$$F_{X_{(r)}}(x) = \sum_{i=r}^{n} {n \choose i} x^{i} (1-x)^{n-i}$$
 for  $0 < x < 1$ .

**Corollary 6.2:** For a random sample of size *n* from U(0, 1) distribution, the *r*-th order statistic follows a beta(r, n - r + 1) distribution with PDF

$$f(x) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} x^{r-1} (1-x)^{n-r} \text{ for } 0 < x < 1$$

#### Joint Distribution of Subset of Order Statistics

**Theorem 6.4:** Let  $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$  be the order statistics corresponding to a random sample of size *n* from a population having PDF  $f_X(\cdot)$  and CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$ . Then, for  $1 \le r_1 < r_2 < \ldots < r_k \le n$  and  $1 \le k \le n$ , the joint PDF of  $X_{(r_1)}, X_{(r_2)}, \ldots, X_{(r_k)}$  is

$$\begin{split} f_{X_{(r_1)}, X_{(r_2)}, \dots, X_{(r_k)}} & (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r_1 - 1)! (r_2 - r_1 - 1)! \dots (n - r_k)!} \\ &\times [F_X(x_1)]^{r_1 - 1} [F_X(x_2) - F_X(x_1)]^{r_2 - r_1 - 1} \dots [1 - F_X(x_k)]^{n - r_k} \\ &\times f_X(x_1) f_X(x_2) \dots f_X(x_k), \end{split}$$

for  $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_k$ .

## Probability-Integral Transform

**Theorem 6.5:** Let X be a random variable with CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$ . If  $F_X(\cdot)$  is continuous, then  $F_X(X) \sim U(0, 1)$ .

**Corollary 6.3:** If  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be a random sample from a continuous CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$ , then  $F_X(X_1), F_X(X_2), ..., F_X(X_n)$  is a random sample from U(0, 1) distribution.

**Corollary 6.4:** Let  $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \ldots < X_{(n)}$  be the order statistics corresponding to a random sample of size *n* from a population having continuous CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$ . Then, the distribution of

$$F_X(X_{(1)}) < F_X(X_{(2)}) < \ldots < F_X(X_{(n)})$$

is same as that of the order statistics corresponding to a random sample of size n from U(0, 1) distribution. a random sample of

## Quantile Function

**Definition 6.1:** Let X be a random variable with CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$ . The function  $Q_X : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$Q_X(p) = F^{-1}(p) = \inf \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : F_X(x) \ge p \right\}$$

is known as quantile function (QF) of the random variable X. For  $0 , <math>Q_X(p)$  is known as *p*-th quantile of X.

Remark 6.1:

- The 0.5-th quantile is known as population median.
- The first quartile is 0.25-th quantile, the second quartile is 0.50-th quantile, and the third quartile is 0.75-th quantile.
- The CDF and QF provide similar information regarding the distribution of the random variable.
- Different moments can be expressed in terms of QF.

## Empirical Distribution Function

**Definition 6.2:** For a random sample of size *n* from the distribution with CDF  $F_X(\cdot)$ , the empirical distribution function (EDF),  $S_n : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ , is defined by

$$S_n(x) = rac{\text{number of sample values } \leq x}{n}.$$

**Remark 6.2:** The EDF is most conveniently defined in terms of the order statistics as

$$S_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < X_{(1)} \\ \frac{i}{n} & \text{if } X_{(i)} \le x < X_{(i+1)}, \ i = 1, \ 2, \ \dots, \ n-1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge X_{(n)}. \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - ����

## Some Properties of EDF

**Theorem 6.6:** For fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T_n(x) \sim Bin(n, F_X(x))$ , where  $T_n(x) = nS_n(x)$ .

**Corollary 6.5:** For any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E(S_n(x)) = F_X(x)$  and  $Var(S_n(x)) = \frac{F_X(x)(1-F_X(x))}{n}$ .

**Corollary 6.6:** For any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $S_n(x)$  is consistent estimator of  $F_X(x)$ .

**Theorem 6.7:** For any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\left[S_n(x)-F_X(x)\right]}{\sqrt{F_X(x)\left[1-F_X(x)\right]}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z \sim N(0, 1).$$

**Theorem 6.8:** (Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem)  $S_n(\cdot)$  converges uniformly to  $F_X(\cdot)$  with probability 1, *i.e.*,

$$P\left[\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|S_n(x)-F_X(x)|=0\right]=1.$$

#### Test for Randomness

- 10 persons (M-5, F-5) waiting in a queue for movie tickets.
- The arrangement is M, F, M, F, M, F, M, F, M, F.
- Would it be considered as a random arrangement of genders?

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ○ ◆

#### Test for Randomness

- 10 persons (M-5, F-5) waiting in a queue for movie tickets.
- The arrangement is M, F, M, F, M, F, M, F, M, F.
- Would it be considered as a random arrangement of genders?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- F, F, F, F, F, M, M, M, M, M.
- M, M, M, M, M, F, F, F, F, F.
- M, M, F, F, F, M, F, M, M, F.

#### Test for Randomness

- A ordered sequence of two types of symbols (or objects).
- Length of the sequence is *n*.
- *n*<sub>1</sub> : number of Type-I symbol
- n<sub>2</sub> : number of Type-II symbol
- $n = n_1 + n_2$
- We want to test

 $H_0$ : the arrangement of the *n* symbols is random

#### against

 $H_1$ : the arrangement of the *n* symbols is not random.

**Definition 6.3:** Given an ordered sequence of two type of symbols, a run is defined to be a succession of one type of symbols that are followed or preceded by a different symbol or no symbol at all.

#### Example 6.1:

M, F, M, F, M, F, M, F, M, F — 10 runs (5 of M, 5 of F)
F, F, F, F, F, M, M, M, M, M — 2 runs (1 of M, 1 of F)
M, M, M, M, M, F, F, F, F, F — 2 runs (1 of M, 1 of F)
M, M, F, F, F, M, F, F, M, M — 5 runs (3 of M, 2 of F)

#### Test based on Total Number of Runs

- A ordered sequence of two types of symbols (or objects).
- Length of the sequence is *n*.
- *n*<sub>1</sub> : number of Type-I symbol
- n<sub>2</sub> : number of Type-II symbol
- $n = n_1 + n_2$
- R<sub>1</sub> : Number of runs of Type-I symbol
- R<sub>2</sub> : Number of runs of Type-II symbol
- $R = R_1 + R_2$ : Number of total runs
- $H_0$  is rejected if and only if R is too small or too large
- Need the null distribution of R

**Lemma 6.1:** The number of distinguishable ways of distributing *n*-like objects into *r* distinguishable cells with no cell empty is  $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ ,  $n \ge r \ge 1$ .

**Theorem 6.9:** Under  $H_0$ , the joint probability mass function of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  is

$$f_{R_1,R_2}(r_1, r_2) = rac{C\binom{n_1-1}{r_1-1}\binom{n_2-1}{r_2-1}}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{n_1}},$$

for  $(r_1, r_2) \in \{(a, b) \in N : a = b \text{ or } a = b \pm 1\}$ , where  $N = \{1, 2, ..., n_1\} \times \{1, 2, ..., n_2\}$ , c = 2 if  $r_1 = r_2$  and c = 1 if  $r_1 = r_2 \pm 1$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへの

**Corollary 6.7:** Under  $H_0$ , the marginal probability mass function of  $R_1$  is

$$f_{R_1}(r_1) = rac{\binom{n_1-1}{r_1-1}\binom{n_2+1}{r_1}}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{n_1}} ext{ for } r_1 = 1, \, 2, \, \dots, \, n_1.$$

**Corollary 6.8:** Under  $H_0$ , the marginal probability mass function of  $R_2$  is

$$f_{R_2}(r_2) = rac{\binom{n_2-1}{r_2-1}\binom{n_1+1}{r_1}}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{n_2}} ext{ for } r_2 = 1, 2, \dots, n_2.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

**Theorem 6.10:** The probability mass function of R in a random sample is

$$f_{R}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\binom{n_{1}-1}{\frac{r}{2}-1}\binom{n_{2}-1}{\frac{r}{2}-1}}{\binom{n_{1}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}} & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ \frac{\binom{n_{1}-1}{\frac{r-1}{2}}\binom{n_{2}-1}{\frac{r-3}{2}} + \binom{n_{1}-1}{\frac{r-3}{2}}\binom{n_{2}-1}{\frac{r-1}{2}}}{\binom{n_{1}+n_{2}}{n_{1}}} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for r = 2, 3, ..., n.

**Example 6.2:** If  $n_1 = 5$  and  $n_2 = 4$ , then under  $H_0$ 

$$f_{R}(9) = \frac{\binom{4}{4}\binom{3}{3}}{\binom{9}{4}} = \frac{1}{126} \approx 0.008,$$
  

$$f_{R}(8) = \frac{2\binom{4}{3}\binom{3}{3}}{\binom{9}{4}} = \frac{8}{126} \approx 0.063,$$
  

$$f_{R}(3) = \frac{\binom{4}{1}\binom{3}{0} + \binom{4}{0}\binom{3}{1}}{\binom{9}{4}} = \frac{7}{126} \approx 0.056$$
  

$$f_{R}(2) = \frac{2\binom{4}{0}\binom{3}{0}}{\binom{9}{4}} = \frac{2}{126} \approx 0.016.$$

For a two-sided test that rejects the null hypothesis for  $R \le 2$  or  $R \ge 9$ , the exact significance level  $\alpha$  is  $\frac{3}{126} \approx 0.024$ .

#### Moments of R under $H_0$

**Theorem 6.11:** The first two central moment of R under  $H_0$  is

$$E(R) = 1 + \frac{2n_1n_2}{n},$$
  

$$Var(R) = \frac{2n_1n_2(2n_1n_2 - n_1 - n_2)}{n^2(n-1)}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Asymptotic Test

**Theorem 6.12:** Suppose that the total sample size *n* increases to  $\infty$  such a way that  $\frac{n_1}{n} \rightarrow \lambda$ , where  $0 < \lambda < 1$  is a fixed number. Then under  $H_0$ ,

$$rac{R-2n\lambda(1-\lambda)}{2\sqrt{n}\lambda(1-\lambda)} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{
ightarrow} \mathsf{N}(0,\,1).$$

• Using the normal approximation, the null hypothesis of randomness would be rejected at level  $\alpha$  if and only if

$$\left|\frac{R-2n\lambda(1-\lambda)}{2\sqrt{n}\lambda(1-\lambda)}\right|>z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

## Tests of Goodness-of-Fit

- Want to know if the given sample compatible to a particular distribution or not.
- The null hypothesis is about the form the CDF of the parent distribution.
- Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from unknown CDF  $F(\cdot)$ .
- H<sub>0</sub>: F(x) = F<sub>0</sub>(x) for all x ∈ ℝ against H<sub>1</sub>: F(x) ≠ F<sub>0</sub>(x) for some x ∈ ℝ.
- Ideally, null hypothesis completely specifies the distribution.
- We hope to accept the null hypothesis.
- Rejection of null hypothesis does not provide much specific information.
- Two types of tests will be discussed:
  - Graphical test Q-Q plot
  - Formal Statistical tests  $\chi^2$  Goodness-of-Fit, KS test

## The Chi-square Goodness-of-Fit Test

- The sample data must be grouped according to some scheme in order to form a frequency distribution.
- k: Number of categories.
- $f_i$ : Frequency of the *i*-th category.
- e<sub>i</sub> = n × P<sub>H<sub>0</sub></sub>(a random observation belongs to *i*-th category) : Expected frequency of the *i*-th category.
- The test statistic is

$$Q=\sum_{i=1}^krac{(f_i-e_i)^2}{e_i}.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- For large sample, the distribution of Q under  $H_0$  can be approximated by  $\chi^2$ -distribution with d.f. k 1.
- Reject  $H_0$  at level  $\alpha$  if and only if  $Q > \chi^2_{k-1,\alpha}$ .

#### The Chi-square Goodness-of-Fit Test

- Information: In the context of LRT,  $-2 \ln \Lambda$  converges to  $\chi^2_{k_1-k_2}$  distribution as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are, respectively, the dimension of the spaces  $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_0$ ,  $k_1 > k_2$ .
- Using the above fact, the use of Chi-square test can be justified.
- If F<sub>0</sub>(·) does not specify the distribution completely, one can use MLE of the unknown parameters (based on grouped data). In this case, H<sub>0</sub> is rejected at level α if and only if Q > χ<sup>2</sup><sub>k-1-s,α</sub>, where s is the number of unknown parameters.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

- $H_0: F(x) = F_0(x)$  for all x ag.  $H_1: F(x) \neq F_0(x)$  for some x.
- It is assumed that  $F_0(\cdot)$  is continuous.
- The test statistic is

$$D_n = \sup_{x} |S_n(x) - F_0(x)|.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- Large value of  $D_n$  implies disagreement with  $H_0$ .
- Thus, rejection region is of the form  $D_n > k$ .

## Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

**Theorem 6.13:** The statistic  $D_n$  is distribution-free for any specified continuous CDF  $F_0(\cdot)$ .

**Theorem 6.14:** (Exact null distribution of  $D_n$ ) Let  $F_0(\cdot)$  be continuous. Then under  $H_0$ , we have for  $0 < v < \frac{2n-1}{n}$ 

$$P\left(D_{n} < \frac{1}{2n} + v\right)$$
  
=  $\int_{\frac{1}{2n}-v}^{\frac{1}{2n}+v} \int_{\frac{3}{2n}-v}^{\frac{3}{2n}+v} \dots \int_{\frac{2n-1}{2n}-v}^{\frac{2n-1}{2n}+v} f(u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{n}) du_{n} du_{n-1} \dots du_{1},$ 

where

$$f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = egin{cases} n! & ext{for } 0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_n < 1 \\ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above probability is zero and one for  $v \le 0$  and  $v \ge \frac{2n-1}{n}$ , respectively.

## Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

**Theorem 6.15:** (Large sample null distribution of  $D_n$ ) If  $F_0(\cdot)$  is continuous, then under  $H_0$  for every d > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(D_n \le \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} e^{-2i^2 d^2}$$

- Let the underlying CDF is  $F(\cdot)$ .
- Assume that  $F(\cdot)$  is continuous and strictly increasing.
- $\kappa_p = Q(p)$ : The *p*-th quantile.
- We are interested to find confidence interval for κ<sub>p</sub> based on X<sub>(r)</sub> and X<sub>(s)</sub> for r < s.</li>
- To find  $100(1 \alpha)$ % Cl for  $\kappa_p$ , we need to find two integers r and s with  $1 \le r < s \le n$  such that

$$P\left(X_{(r)} \leq \kappa_p \leq X_{(s)}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Note that

$$P\left(X_{(r)} < \kappa_p < X_{(s)}\right) = P\left(X_{(r)} < \kappa_p\right) - P\left(X_{(s)} < \kappa_p\right).$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへで

• 
$$P(X_{(r)} < \kappa_p) = P(U_{(r)} < p) = \int_0^p n \binom{n-1}{r-1} x^{r-1} (1-x)^{n-r} dx.$$

Thus, we need to find two integers r and s such that

$$\int_{0}^{p} n \binom{n-1}{r-1} x^{r-1} (1-x)^{n-r} dx \\ - \int_{0}^{p} n \binom{n-1}{s-1} x^{s-1} (1-x)^{n-s} dx = 1-\alpha.$$

 In general, two unknowns (r and s) cannot be uniquely found from one equation. We need to impose some other restrictions. For example, we may consider equal tail CI.

Note that

$$P\left(X_{(r)} < \kappa_p\right) = P\left(U_{(r)} < p\right) = \sum_{i=r}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}.$$

Thus,

$$P\left(X_{(r)} < \kappa_p < X_{(s)}\right) = \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}.$$

• Thus, alternatively, we need to find r and s such that

$$\sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} = 1-\alpha.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- For n > 20, it is difficult to use the previous method.
- In this case, one can use normal approximation to the binomial distribution with a continuity correction.
- Let  $K \sim Bin(n, p)$ .
- Then, for k in the support of K,

$$P(K \leq k) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{k+rac{1}{2}-np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}
ight).$$

• Thus, for asymptotic equal tail CI of  $\kappa_p$ , we can take

$$r = \left\lfloor np + \frac{1}{2} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{np(1-p)} \right\rfloor$$

and

$$s = \left\lceil np + \frac{1}{2} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{np(1-p)} \right\rceil.$$

## Hypothesis Testing for Population Quantile

- Let X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ..., X<sub>n</sub> be a random sample from a population with CDF F(·), a continuous distribution function.
- We want to test  $H_0: \kappa_p = \kappa^0$  against  $H_1: \kappa_p \neq \kappa^0$ .
- Let K be the number of observations greater than  $\kappa^0$ .
- Too big or too small observed value of K indicate evidence against H<sub>0</sub>.
- Thus,  $H_0$  is rejected if and only if  $K \le r$  or  $K \ge s$ ,  $0 \le r < s \le n$ .
- For a level  $\alpha$  test,  $\textbf{\textit{r}}$  and  $\textbf{\textit{s}}$  satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} \binom{n}{i} (1-p)^{i} p^{n-i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=s}^{n} \binom{n}{i} (1-p)^{i} p^{n-i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

## Hypothesis Testing for Population Quantile

- For large sample size (*n* > 20), one can use normal approximation.
- $\bullet\,$  The critical region for a level  $\alpha$  test is given by

$$K \leq n(1-p) + \frac{1}{2} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{np(1-p)}$$

or

$$K \ge n(1-p) + rac{1}{2} + z_{rac{lpha}{2}}\sqrt{np(1-p)}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Problem of zeros.