## STATISTICAL INFERENCE (MA862) Lecture Slides Topic 3: Interval Estimation

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Interval Estimation

- Our aim is to find an interval in Θ ⊆ ℝ such that the interval covers the unknown parameter with a specified high probability.
- Note that for a RV X and two real constants a > 0, b > 0,

$$P(a < X < b) = P(X < b < bX/a).$$

Though these two probabilities are same, there is a basic difference in RHS and LHS statements. For the LHS, we are taking about probability that a random quantity X belongs to a fixed interval (a, b). For the RHS, we are taking about probability that a random interval (X, bX/a) contains a fixed point b.

• For example, let  $X \sim U(0, 1)$ , a = 0.5, and b = 1. In this case P(X < 1 < 2X) = P(0.5 < X < 1) = 0.5.

## Interval Estimation

- In interval estimation we will try to find a random interval based on the RS so that it contains the fixed unknown parameter with a pre-specified probability.
- Interval estimation is quite useful in practice. For example one may interested to find a upper limit of mean of toxic level of some drug or food.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

## Interval Estimation

**Definition 3.1:** An interval estimate of a real valued parameter  $\theta$  is any pair of functions L(x) and U(x) of random sample only (do not involve any unknown parameters) that satisfy  $L(x) \leq U(x)$  for all x in the support of RS. The random interval [L(X), U(X)] is called an interval estimator of  $\theta$ .

#### Remark 3.1:

- Though in the definition the closed interval [L(X), U(X)] is considered, the interval may be closed, open or semi-open.
- If L(x) = -∞, then U(x) provides an upper limit and the corresponding interval estimator is called lower interval estimator.
- If U(x) = ∞, then L(x) provides a lower limit and the corresponding interval estimator is called upper interval estimator.

**Example 3.1:** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, 1)$ . Conciser  $L_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 - 1$ ,  $U_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 1$ ,  $L_2(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\mathbf{x}} - 1$ , and  $U_2(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\mathbf{x}} + 1$ . Then both  $[L_1(\mathbf{X}), U_1(\mathbf{X})]$  and  $[L_2(\mathbf{X}), U_2(\mathbf{X})]$  are interval estimator of  $\mu$ .

Which one should we use? Note that here the lengths of both intervals are same, hence one should use that which has more probability that the random interval includes  $\mu$ .

$$P(X_1 - 1 \le \mu \le X_1 + 1) = P(-1 \le X_1 - \mu \le 1) = 2\Phi(1) - 1,$$
  
 $P(\overline{X} - 1 \le \mu \le \overline{X} + 1) = P(-\sqrt{n} \le \sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - \mu) \le \sqrt{n})$   
 $= 2\Phi(\sqrt{n}) - 1.$ 

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ○ ◆

Now as  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is an increasing function, we should prefer  $[L_2(\mathbf{X}), U_2(\mathbf{X})]$  over  $[L_1(\mathbf{X}), U_1(\mathbf{X})]$ .

#### Remarks

#### Remark 3.2:

- In the previous example we talk about maximum probability when the length of the intervals are fixed. Similarly in some other situations, we can consider minimum length for fixed probability. Though we will not pursue these concepts further.
- Clearly we are loosing precision in interval estimation compared to point estimation. Do we have any gain? Consider the previous example. A reasonable point estimator of  $\mu$  is  $\overline{X}$ . However,  $P(\overline{X} = \mu) = 0$  as  $\overline{X}$  is a CRV. On the other hand  $P(\overline{X} 1 \le \mu \le \overline{X} + 1) > 0$ . Hence in interval estimation we have some confidence which we gain by reducing precision.

**Definition 3.2:** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . An interval estimator  $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$  is said to be a confidence interval of level  $1 - \alpha$  (or a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval) if  $P_{\theta}(L(\mathbf{X}) \leq \theta \leq U(\mathbf{X})) \geq 1 - \alpha$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

#### Remark 3.3:

- Typical values of  $\alpha$  are 0.1, 0.05, 0.01.
- If we are able to have large number of realization of a RS, and calculate a  $100(1 \alpha)$ % CI for each realization, then about  $100(1 \alpha)$ % times the true parameter will be inside the calculated CI. This is the physical mean of a CI and is called relative frequency interpretation of CI.

## Method of Finding CI

**Definition 3.3:** A random variable  $T = T(X; \theta)$  is called a pivot (or a pivotal quantity) if the distribution of T does not involve any unknown parameters.

**Example 3.2:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  both are unknown. Then  $\overline{X} - \mu$  is not a pivot as  $\overline{X} - \mu \sim N(0, \sigma^2/n)$ . However,  $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\overline{X} - \mu) \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{S} (\overline{X} - \mu) \sim t_{n-1}$  and hence are pivot.

**Example 3.3:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Exp(\lambda)$ . Then  $2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \chi_{2n}^2$  (why?) and hence is a pivot.

**Remark 3.4:** Pivot is a function of random sample and unknown parameters, but its' distribution is independent of all unknown parameters. Hence pivot is not a statistic.

# Method of Finding CI

- Let T be a pivot.
- Find two real numbers a and b such that

$$P(a \leq T(\boldsymbol{X}; \theta) \leq b) \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

Note that a and b are independent of all unknown parameters as the distribution of T does not involve any unknown parameter.
A 100(1 - α)% CI for θ is

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \{\theta \in \Theta : a \leq T(\mathbf{x}; \theta) \leq b\}.$$


Note that C(x) does not involve any unknown parameters as a and b are independent of all unknown parameters.

**Remark 3.5:** If  $T(x; \theta)$  is monotone in  $\theta \in \Theta$  for each x, then C(x) is an interval. Otherwise it will be a general set.

**Example 3.4:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  is unknown and  $\sigma > 0$  is known. A  $100(1 - \alpha)$ % symmetric CI for  $\mu$  is

$$C(\boldsymbol{X}) = \left[\overline{X} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}, \, \overline{X} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right],$$

where  $z_{\alpha}$  is a real number such that  $P(X > z_{\alpha}) = \alpha$  for  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ .



### Remarks

#### Remark 3.6:

- We can have infinite number of choices for *a* and *b*. For example  $a = z_{1-\alpha_1}$  and  $b = z_{\alpha_2}$ , where  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 > 0$ , and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$ . Of course they will not be symmetric CI.
- The CI in the previous example is called symmetric CI.
- This is the minimum length CI based on  $\overline{X}$ .
- $z_{\alpha}$  is called upper  $\alpha$ -point of standard normal distribution.
- Note that in the previous example, X is a sufficient statistic for μ and the CI is constructed using sufficient statistic. Whenever possible, we try to find pivot that involves sufficient statistic.

**Example 3.5:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  is known and  $\sigma > 0$  is unknown. A  $100(1 - \alpha)$ % symmetric CI for  $\sigma^2$  is

$$c(\boldsymbol{X}) = \left[ rac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{\chi^2_{n, \alpha/2}}, \, rac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu)^2}{\chi^2_{n, 1 - \alpha/2}} 
ight],$$

where  $\chi^2_{n,\alpha}$  is called upper  $\alpha$ -point of  $\chi^2$ -distribution with degrees of freedom n and is a real number such that  $P(X > \chi^2_{n,\alpha}) = \alpha$  for a random variable  $X \sim \chi^2_n$ .

**Example 3.6:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$  are unknown. We are interested in CI of  $\mu$ . A  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  symmetric CI for  $\mu$  is

$$c(\boldsymbol{X}) = \left[\overline{X} - rac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,lpha/2}, \, \overline{X} + rac{S}{\sqrt{n}}t_{n-1,lpha/2}
ight],$$

where  $t_{n,\alpha}$  is called upper  $\alpha$ -point of *t*-distribution with degrees of freedom *n*.

**Example 3.7:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$  are unknown. A  $100(1 - \alpha)$ % symmetric CI for  $\sigma^2$  is

$$c(\boldsymbol{X}) = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{\chi_{n-1,\alpha/2}^2}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{\chi_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}^2}\right]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

# Asymptotic CI

- In many cases it is very difficult to find pivot for a small sample. For example it is difficult to find a pivot to construct CI for successes probability of a Bernoulli distribution.
- However, we may able to find CI quite easily if the sample size is sufficiently large. This CI is called asymptotic confidence interval.

シロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

• We will use convergence in distribution (mainly CLT or large sample distribution of MLE) and in probability (consistent estimator).

## Distribution Free Population Mean

Let X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ... be i.i.d. random variables with mean μ and finite variance σ<sup>2</sup>. Then using CLT

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{X}_n-\mu\right)}{\sigma}\xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} Z\sim N(0,\,1).$$

• Now if *n*, sample size, is large, we can approximate the distribution of  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$  using a standard normal distribution. Hence

$$P\left(-z_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{X}_n - \mu\right)}{\sigma} \leq z_{\alpha/2}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

• If  $\sigma$  is known and *n* is sufficiently large, we can use the last statement to find an asymptotic CI for  $\mu$  and it is given by

$$\left[\overline{X}_n - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}, \overline{X}_n + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right].$$

## Distribution Free Population Mean (Contd.)

If  $\sigma$  is unknown, we can proceed as follows:

• We know that  $\frac{S_n}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{P} 1$ , and hence

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{X}_n-\mu\right)}{S_n}\xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} Z\sim N(0,\,1).$$

• Hence 
$$P\left(-z_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{S_n} \leq z_{\alpha/2}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

• An asymptotic CI for  $\mu$  is given by

$$\left[\overline{X}_n - \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}, \, \overline{X}_n + \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right].$$

 Note that this method can be used for any distribution of the random sample, as long as the conditions of CLT hold true. Hence it is called distribution free.

# Using MLE

- Let  $\widehat{\theta}_n$  be a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  and  $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\theta}_n \theta\right) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} N(0, b^2(\theta))$ , where  $b(\theta) > 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- Assume that  $b(\cdot)$  is a continuous function.
- Then  $\frac{b(\widehat{\theta}_n)}{b(\theta)} \xrightarrow{P} 1$  and hence  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\theta}_n \theta)}{b(\widehat{\theta}_n)} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} N(0, 1).$
- A  $100(1-\alpha)$ % asymptotic CI for  $\theta$  is given by

$$\left[\widehat{\theta}_n - \frac{b(\widehat{\theta}_n)}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}, \, \widehat{\theta}_n + \frac{b(\widehat{\theta}_n)}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right]$$

 Under some regularity conditions, we may use MLE of θ in place of θ̂<sub>n</sub>.

**Example 3.8:**  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Bernaoulli(p)$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . We are interested to construct asymptotic CI for p.

We know that 
$$\widehat{p}_n = \overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} p$$
 and  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - p)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} N(0, 1).$ 

Here  $b(p) = \sqrt{p(1-p)}$ , which is a continuous function in  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

Hence 
$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n-p)}{\sqrt{\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n)}} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} N(0, 1).$$

A  $100(1-\alpha)$ % asymptotic CI for p is

$$\left[\overline{X}_n - \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n)}{n}} z_{\alpha/2}, \, \overline{X}_n + \sqrt{\frac{\overline{X}_n(1-\overline{X}_n)}{n}} z_{\alpha/2}\right].$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

#### $\delta\text{-method}$

**Example 3.9:** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be a random sample from a population having Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ . We need a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  Cl for  $\tau(\lambda) = P(X_1 = 0) = e^{-\lambda}$ .

**Theorem 3.1:** (Mann-Wald Theorem) Suppose that  $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a sequence of real valued random variables such that

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n- heta)\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{
ightarrow}\mathsf{N}(0,\,\sigma^2) ext{ as } n
ightarrow\infty,$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  may also depend on  $\theta$ . Let  $g(\cdot)$  be a continuous real valued function such that  $g'(\cdot)$  is finite and non-zero. Then, we have

$$\sqrt{n}\left(g(\mathcal{T}_n)-g( heta)
ight) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{
ightarrow} N\left(0,\left\{\sigma g'( heta)
ight\}^2
ight)$$
 as  $n
ightarrow\infty.$